

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/946,315

Applicant(s)
Marshall

Examiner
Alexander Kalinowski

Group Art Unit
2761



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alexander Kalinowski

(3) Gary Kappell

(2) Emanuel Todd Voeltz

(4) _____

Date of Interview Oct 5, 1999

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 85-129

Identification of prior art discussed:
none

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
Applicant's representative discussed the meaning of the term abstract information. Applicant's representative asserted that the term "Abstract information" in the context of Applicant's claimed invention is directed to representing statistical data that has no real world equivalent. The Examiner directed Applicant's representative's attention to the drawings which do not show an example of the system being used for defense information, sports information and legal information. The Examiner suggested adding; 1) drawings showing embodiments directed to sports information, legal information and defense information; 2) modifying the brief descriptions of the drawings in the specification in light of the additional Figures; and 3) modifying the specification to support the embodiments shown in the added Figures. In addition, Applicant's representative agreed to submit a terminal disclaimer to overcome the Double Patenting rejections. The Examiner reserves the right to conduct a new search for prior art upon submission of a formal amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.